

Improving communications with veterans and resolving issues related to dose reconstruction and claim adjudication

VBDR

# Projecting Future Operations of the Veterans' Advisory Board: Suggestions to Consider

John Lathrop, Ph.D. Board Member, editing the four subcommittee reports

> Arlington, VA March 4, 2010

## **Strategic Overview: History**

To date, Veterans' Advisory Board on Dose Reconstruction (VBDR) has made 55 recommendations to:

- Department of Veterans Affairs (VA)
- Nuclear Test Personnel Review Program (NTPR), DoD
- VA and NTPR responses to those recommendations have resulted in many benefits to the Atomic Veteran

## **The Two Most Important Benefits: First**

NTPR instituted triage-like expedited processing:

- maintains benefit of doubt to veteran
- allocates most claims into standardized processes
- reduces the need for individual dose reconstructions Results:
  - Backlog of claims reduced from about 1,600 to about 100
  - Average NTPR processing time reduced from about 2 years to about 2 months
  - Maximum NTPR processing time reduced from about 4 years to about 6 months

VA consolidated all Atomic Veterans claims in one Regional Office: Jackson, Mississippi

**Results:** 

- Improved expertise applied to claims
- Improved standardization of processing

## **Strategic Overview: A Suggested Future**

## **Strategic Shift:**

- Now that the recommendation response process has run its course and resulted in its benefits,
- > we here propose:
- it's time for VBDR to shift from <u>advising change</u>
- > to monitoring and enhancing ongoing operations:

# **Strategic Overview: A Suggested Future**

### **Monitor & Enhance**

## **Ongoing Operations: Six Tasks:**

- 1. Continue current audits and oversight, on a limited basis, primarily quality assurance oversight
- 2. Institute Quality Management systems
- 3. Maintain those QM systems
- 4. Outreach to inform the veteran of the program
- 5. Improve communication during the claim process
- 6. Generate demographic projections of the population of Atomic Veterans, and likely claims rates over time, use those to advise outreach & claims management

### **Task 1: Continue Current Audits and Oversight**

#### Subcommittee 1: Continue NTPR audits & oversight

Scale back audits to random checks of checkers (particularly expedited cases)

Review of double-blind analyses & associated lessons learned

- Reviews of new or changes in methodology, SOPs, and technical basis documents
- Oversight of Quality Management re NTPR, in concert with Subcommittee 3

Subcommittee 2: Continue VA audits & oversight

Subcommittee 3: Working with NTPR, conferring w SC1

NTPR has developed an extensive set of QA documents for handling Atomic Veterans claims

SC3 will continue to review and provide advice on NTPR's QA system:

- Quarterly Quality metrics that include Corrective Action tracking and resolution Scoreboard concept
- Decision Summary Sheets

### **Task 2: Institute Quality Management Systems: VA**

Subcommittee 3: Working with VA, conferring w SC2

Seek VA QM documents at Jackson analogous to NTPR QQMs and DSSs

Those QQMs & DSSs would be solely at Jackson, and framed as low-effort elements of best management practices

Goals of those QQMs & DSSs: "Beginning – to – End" QM tracking Atomic Veteran claims as they circulate between VA & NTPR

SC3 is not far enough along in this process to predict its success and extent

### Task 3: Maintain NTPR & VA QM Systems

Subcommittee 3: Working with NTPR & VA, conferring w SC2 & SC3

Once the QM systems are developed, they need to be monitored for the life of the program

A key point of the QQMs and DSSs is that they should allow outside oversight, i.e. by SC1, SC2 & SC3, or any other monitoring agency, with minimal effort

while at the same time, the QQMs and DSSs should provide internal management oversight benefits within NTPR and VA

#### Task 4: Outreach to inform the veteran of the program

Subcommittee 4, with the cooperation of SC 2

Advise NTPR & VA in developing & managing a consolidated Atomic Veterans Outreach Campaign

Do everything feasible to bring the Radiation Dose Reconstruction Program to the attention of as many Atomic Veterans and survivors as possible, so they can make an informed choice whether or not to file a claim

Maintain that operation for the duration of the program

## **Task 5: Improve Communication During Claim**

### Subcommittee 4

- SC4 will review current correspondence templates between each agency and Atomic Veterans for possible improvement
- The Goal: Each claiming Atomic Veteran should be given a clear idea of the process and progress of his claim
- ... so he can make his best case
- ... so he can make fully informed decisions regarding his claim
- ... so he fully understands the final decision

### **Task 6: Demographic Projection**

### Subcommittee 4

- SC4 will generate a demographic projection of the Atomic Veteran population over the next decades
- > and the likely claims rates over that time
- then use those to advise outreach and claims management

**Recapping: Strategic Overview: Six Suggested Future Tasks of the Board** 

### **Monitoring & Enhancing Ongoing Operations:**

- 1. Continue current audits and oversight, on a limited basis, primarily quality assurance oversight
- 2. Institute Quality Management systems
- 3. Maintain those QM systems
- 4. Outreach to inform the veteran of the program
- 5. Improve communication during the claim process
- Generate demographic projections of the population of Atomic Veterans, and likely claims rates over time, use those to advise outreach & claims management

The general concept of shifting from recommendation/response to monitoring/enhancing ongoing operations

Operational Realities of the Six Tasks:

- 1. Continue current audits and oversight, limited, QA
- 2. Institute Quality Management systems
- 3. Maintain those QM systems
- 4. Outreach to inform the veteran of the program
- 5. Improve communication during the claim process
- 6. Generate demographic projections to advise
- Decisions we can reach today

### Next Steps

#### VBDR