C&P Quality Review Program

Veterans Radiation and Dose Reconstruction Advisory Board Los Angeles, California January 12-13, 2006

Quality Assurance

Quality Assurance • Through Second and Third Signature • Procedural Guidance (M21-1 & M21-4) • Consultation with C&P Service

Quality Review

Individual Performance National Accuracy Review

Individual Performance

 Second Signature
Performance Standard Quality Reviews

National Accuracy Review The STAR Program

 Rigorous – Most Comprehensive of any Benefits Agency
Statistically Valid at the Station Level
Insufficient for Specific Issues

Rating Quality 2005

85% Core Decision

Specialized Reviews

Designed to Examine a Specific Topic

Issues In Quality Management

Veterans Claims Assistance Act Consistency of Decision-Making Proper and Timely Development Ready-To-Rate Analysis

Issues In Radiogenic Disease Quality

Lack of Volume at the Local Level Improper Referrals to DTRA Extremely Lengthy Process Ultimate Decision of High Quality

Questions